



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Franz Josef Gassmann

Examiner:

Christopher A. Mahoney

Serial No:

10/080,806

Group:

Art Unit 2851

Filed:

February 21, 2002

Date:

February 22. 2006

For:

CAMERA, PROCESS FOR

Attorney Docket: 298-154

RECONSTRUCTING AN IMAGE INFORMATION, AND PROCESS

FOR CALIBRATING AN IMAGE INFORMATION

Mail Stop: AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION TO CORRECT AND WITHDRAW FINALITY OF OFFICE ACTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a)(1) and M.P.E.P. §§1002.02(c) and 706.07(c), it is respectfully requested finality of the Office Action mailed October 19, 2005 by the Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified application, be withdrawn as premature, for the following reasons.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postpaid in an envelope, addressed to the: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria. VA 22313-1450

Dated: February 22, 2006

Leo G. Lenna

02/28/2006 MAHMED1 00000086 10080806

01 FC:1464

130.00 OP

An Amendment After Final Action was filed with the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) on June 13, 2005. This Amendment amended claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 18 and introduced new dependent claims 27-33 for the first time. These new claims were added without canceling a corresponding number of finally-rejected claims, as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.116.

A first <u>final</u> Office Action was mailed October 19, 2005 after filing of the RCE in this Office Action, objections to language in <u>previously</u> -presented claims 1-4 were raised, for the <u>first</u> time, on page 2. Previously-presented claims 2-32 were <u>also</u> rejected, for the first time, under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, on page 3 of the Office Action. There is no statement in the Office Action that <u>newly</u>-added claims 27-33 have been even treated over the art.

A telephone call was made to the Examiner requesting that finality of this particular Office Action be withdrawn. It was pointed out that new claims 27-33 were introduced, for the first time and <u>no</u> action on their merits <u>before</u> this final action had been taken in this case. The Examiner recommended this Petition be prepared and filed to set forth applicants' position in detail.

Accordingly, the present Petition is being filed to correct and withdraw finality of the Office Action as premature.

In accordance with M.P.E.P. §706.07(h)VIII., the first Office Action immediately subsequent to filing an RCE may be made final only if the conditions set forth in M.P.E.P. §706.07(b) for making a first action final in a continuation application are met. According to M.P.E.P. §706.07(b), all claims presented for examination must be drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application, to make the first Office Action

final. Here, the added claims are dependent from claims and limitations to the independent claims from which they depend.

Accordingly, the claims presented in the Amendment After Final Action filed with the RCE are <u>not</u> all directed to the <u>same</u> invention as previously-presented.

Furthermore, as pointed out *supra*, the total number of claims has been <u>increased</u> by this amendment, <u>without</u> canceling a corresponding number of finally-rejected claims.

Furthermore, new rejections against <u>previously-presented</u> claims have been raised for the first time in the Office action, while it does <u>not</u> appear newly-added claims 27-33 have been trusted over the prior art. It is respectfully pointed out Applicants are <u>not</u> challenging the right of the Examiner to reject the pending claims for any reason or over any combination of prior art. Rather, Applicants are simply pointing out the first Office Action after filing the RCE in the present instance should be <u>nonfinal</u>, to allow Applicants <u>full</u> opportunity to address the rejections raised against the <u>presented</u> claims.

Action mailed October 19, 2005 by the Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified application be withdrawn as premature, and a corrected nonfinal Office Action treating all pending claims 1-33 fully on the merits be issued, with the response period reset. A check in the amount of \$130 for the petition fee in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.17(h) is enclosed; the right is explicitly reserved to request a refund of this petition fee should the enclosed petition be granted and/or finality of the Office Action be withdrawn.

Please charge any deficiency as well as any other fee(s) which may become due

under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 and/or 1.17 at any time during the pendency of this application, or credit any overpayment of such fee(s) to Deposit Account No. <u>04-1121</u>. A DUPLICATE OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED.

Early favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Leo G. Lenna

Reg. No. 42,796

Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard Uniondale, New York 11553 (516) 228-8484